

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/040,049	11/07/2001	William E. Mazzara	GP-301610	1827
	75	590 12/09/2004		EXAMINER	
General Motors Corporation		CAI, WAYNE HUU			
Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21 300 Renaissance Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	P.O. Box 300			2681	
Detroit, MI 48265-3000				DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/040,049	MAZZARA ET AL.			
Office Action	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
7/ 1/1/1/10 5 177		Wayne Cai	2681			
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a) This action is FINAL	/ —	action is non-final.	secution as to the merits is			
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PT 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent 3) Information Disclosure Stateme Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 10, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant's remarks say that the applicant claims the method, computer program, and means for providing multi-path communication for a mobile vehicle. However, it is not in the claimed invention. Specifically, the preamble is not tied to the rest of the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/040,049

Art Unit: 2681

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-2, 10-11, and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by King (US 2003/0055867 A1).

Regarding claims 1, 10, and 16, King discloses a method, system, and computer usable medium for providing multi-path communication for a mobile vehicle comprising:

- receiving a service request (paragraph 0040, and figure 4);
- determining availability of at least one primary communication device and at least one secondary communication device in response to the service request (paragraph 0041, lines 1-8, and figure 4);
- determining capability of the primary communication device and the
 secondary communication device (paragraph 0041, lines 1-8, and figure 4);
- requesting communication from one of the primary communication device and the secondary communication device based on the capability determination (paragraph 0041, lines 8-12, and figure 4).

Regarding claims 2, 11, and 17, King discloses the method, system, and computer usable medium of claims 1, 10, and 16 as described above. King further discloses an initiating a service request from one of the primary communication device and the secondary communication device (paragraph 0041).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 3-9, 12-15, and 18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over King (US 2003/0055867 A1) in view of Khullar (US 6,748,246 B1).

Regarding claims 3, and 18, King discloses the method, and computer usable medium of claims 1, and 16 as described above. King, however, fails to disclose the capability determination is based on factors selected from the group consisting of battery life viability, relative signal strength indication, service availability, type of service and call state.

In a similar field of endeavor, Khullar discloses an apparatus for selecting an access technology. Khullar further discloses, wherein the capability determination is based on factors selected from the group consisting of battery life viability (column 4, lines 3-5), relative signal strength indication (column 4, lines 32-45), service availability (column 4, lines 17-22), type of service and call state (column 4, lines 22-31).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the capability determination based on the selected factors to make the communication more reliable and more efficient.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,049

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claims 4, and 19, King discloses the method, and computer usable medium of claims 3, and 18 as described above. Khullar further discloses, wherein the battery life viability is based on a power state and a power life (column 4, lines 1-4).

Regarding claims 5, 12, and 20, King discloses the method, system, and computer usable medium of claims 3, 10, and 18 as described above. Khullar further discloses: determining a calibrated threshold for the battery life viability (column 4, lines 1-4).

Regarding claims 6, 13, and 21. King discloses the method, system, and computer usable medium of claims 5, 12, and 20 as described above. Khullar further discloses: determining the battery life viability if the calibrated threshold is exceeded (column 4, lines 3-4, and column 6, lines 11-32).

Regarding claims 7, 14, and 22. King discloses the method, system, and computer usable medium of claims 3, 10, and 18 as described above. Khullar further discloses: determining a calibrated threshold for the received signal strength indication (column 4, lines 32-45).

Regarding claims 8, 15, and 23. King discloses the method, system, and computer usable medium of claims 7, 14, and 22 as described above. Khullar further discloses: determining the received signal strength indication if the calibrated threshold is exceeded (column 4, lines 32-45).

Regarding claims 9, and 24. King discloses the method, and computer usable medium of claims 3 and 18 as described above. Khullar further discloses, wherein the

Application/Control Number: 10/040,049

Art Unit: 2681

type of service is analog communication, digital communication, satellite communication, and global system for mobile communication (see figure 1).

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Cai whose telephone number is (703) 305-0265. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday; 9:00-6:00; alternating Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on (703) 308-4825. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wayne Cai Examiner Art Unit 2681

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Taynelewal

Page 6